## Application No. Applicant(s) RODE, JOHN E. 10/054,253 Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** 3683 Lan Nguyen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lan Nguyen. (3)\_\_\_\_. (2) Victor Cardona. Date of Interview: 19 October 2006. Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 7 and 29-32. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested Examiner's action on claims 29-32 since the Board's decision was mailed on 9/20/06. Even though the period of two months has not elapsed, Applicant requested Examiner's action since no further action from Applicant had been intended. It was agreed that claims 1-28 would be cancelled; and claims 29-32 would be allowed. It was also agreed that although the rejection of claim 7 was not reviewed by the Board, the rejection was not withdrawn by the Examiner. The rejection of claim 7 was not presented in the Examiner's Answer because in the Brief dated 4/29/05, Applicant did not request the Board's review of the rejection of claim 7.